

Problem Set 7

Due: By classtime, Nov. 12, 2008

1. [20 pts] Using the square-root representation of the non-linear σ model, as defined in Ch. IV of Donoghue *et al.* as (keeping only the most relevant terms)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} [(\partial_\mu S)^2 - 2\mu^2 S^2] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v+S}{v} \right)^2 \left[(\partial_\mu \varphi_i)^2 + \frac{(\varphi_i \partial_\mu \varphi_i)^2}{v^2 - \varphi_i^2} \right]$$

Here S plays the role of either ρ or σ in our other parametrizations, and in the end we would take $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ to decouple it from the theory. Using again the definitions

$$\pi^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_1 \mp i\varphi_2), \quad \pi^0 = \varphi_3$$

calculate the tree level amplitude for $\pi^+\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ scattering. The two diagrams are the same as in class, and why doesn't the the second diagram contribute? You should write down the relevant Feynman rules for the $S\varphi_i\varphi_i$ and the quartic φ_i interactions (be careful, since these will involve momenta).

Solution:

We need to expand the term which is $1/(v^2 - \varphi_i^2)$, but can keep just the leading term in the Taylor expansion, since we only need the quartic term. Expanded the $(v+S)^2$ term and dropping all term that don't contribute (and forgetting the kinetic term for now):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2v^2}(\varphi_i \partial_\mu \varphi_i)^2 + \frac{1}{v}S(\partial_\mu \varphi_i)^2$$

or in terms of the charged fields (keeping just the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ terms):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{v^2}\pi^+\partial_\mu\pi^-\pi^0\partial^\mu\pi^0 + \frac{1}{v^2}\pi^-\partial_\mu\pi^+\pi^0\partial^\mu\pi^0 + \frac{1}{v}S(2\partial_\mu\pi^+\partial^\mu\pi^- + \partial_\mu\pi^0\partial^\mu\pi^0)$$

So the vertices for the $S\pi\pi$ vertices are (assuming all momenta are directed inward)

$$-\frac{2i}{v}p_\mu^+p_\mu^-$$

or for the neutral pions

$$-\frac{2i}{v}p_\mu^0p_\mu^0$$

where the momenta have the same assignments as in class. The 4-point coupling is also simple

$$-\frac{i}{v^2}(p_+ + p_-)_\mu(p_0 + p_{0'})^\mu$$

The two diagrams that we have are the same that we had in the linearized form of the σ -model. The diagram with an intermediate S has the form, using the Feynman rules (setting $p_- = -p'_+$ and flipping the sign of p'_0)

$$\frac{2i}{v}p_+ \cdot p'_+ \frac{i}{(p'_+ - p_+)^2 - (2\mu)^2} \frac{2i}{v}p_0 \cdot p'_0$$

But since we can relate $p_+ \cdot p'_+$ and $p_0 \cdot p'_0$ to $q^2 = (p'_+ - p_+)^2$, we can see that this is of order q^4 , higher order than the other diagram. Additionally we will take $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ to decouple the S , and there are no corresponding factors of μ in the numerator to compensate (as there was in the linear σ model), so this term vanishes at this order.

The other diagram gives us

$$-i\mathcal{M} = -\frac{i}{v^2}(p_+ - p'_+) \cdot (p_0 - p'_0)$$

But $q = p'_+ - p_+ = p_0 - p'_0$, so this is just

$$-i\mathcal{M} = +\frac{iq^2}{v^2}$$

As it should be.

2. [10 pts] The nice thing about starting from the QCD Lagrangian is that it easily generalizes to n_f flavors of light quarks. In other words, if we didn't assume that just the up and down quarks were light, we could say we had an approximate

$$SU(n_f)_L \times SU(n_f)_R$$

chiral symmetry. Of course, it would be absurd to think we could treat all six quarks as nearly massless, but it is not much of a stretch to set $n_f = 3$ and include the strange quark. Thus, the required symmetry breaking pattern would be

$$SU(3) \times SU(3)_R \rightarrow SU(3)_V$$

This would give rise to 8 Goldstone Bosons, which we can identify as the 8 lightest pseudoscalar mesons: the pions, the kaons, and the η . The corresponding chiral Lagrangian looks exactly the same as the $SU(2)$ case:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f^2}{4} \text{Tr}[\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial^\mu \Sigma] + \frac{\mu f^2}{2} \text{Tr}[M\Sigma + \Sigma^\dagger M]$$

but now everything here is a 3×3 matrix. We have $\Sigma = \exp[i\phi^a \lambda^a / f]$, where λ^a are the Gell-Mann matrices, and we have the quark mass matrix $M = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$.

- (a) Show that with the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^1 \mp i\phi^2), \quad K^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^4 \mp i\phi^5), \quad K^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^6 - i\phi^7), \quad \bar{K}^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^6 + i\phi^7), \\ \pi^0 &= \phi^3, \quad \eta = \phi^8 \end{aligned}$$

and using the Gell-Mann matrices that

$$\phi^a T^a = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution:

This is just a straightforward exercise.

- (b) Notice I have kept the quark masses general. Calculate the masses of the mesons. Note the mass term is not diagonal; there is a $\pi^0 \eta$ term. Calculate its coefficient, and what is it in the isospin limit?

Solution:

A straightforward amount of matrix algebra gives us

$$m_{\pi^\pm}^2 = m_{\pi^0}^2 = 2\mu(m_u + m_d)m_K^2 = 2\mu(m_u + m_s)m_{K^0, \bar{K}^0}^2 = 2\mu(m_s + m_d)$$

as well as the η mass relationship. Additionally there is a term that mixes the η and π^0 , and this term in the Lagrangian is

$$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\mu}{3}(m_d - m_u)\pi^0 \eta$$

which vanishes if $m_u = m_d$.

- (c) In the isospin limit, give a relation between the π, K, η masses-squared. This is the Gell-Mann–Okubo formula as applied to the octet of mesons (notice that here it involves relations among the squared-masses unlike what we found with the octet of baryons).

Solution:

This is yet another straightforward exercise:

$$m_\eta^2 = \frac{1}{3}(4m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)$$

where we have gotten rid of the charge label on the mesons because they are irrelevant in the isospin limit.

3. [20 pts] Let's now include the weak interactions in χ P.T. At low energies, we can “integrate out” the W bosons, giving us the Fermi interactions involving four quarks that we briefly discussed in class. One particular interaction that is often relevant is

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{(8,1)} = (\bar{s}_L d_L) \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_R q_R)$$

And this is labeled as such because it transforms as an octet (8) under the left-handed part of the $SU(3)$ chiral symmetry, and a singlet (1) under the right-handed part. There of course is an overall coupling constant, but that is irrelevant for this process.

- (a) Show that we can write this as

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{(8,1)} = (\bar{Q}_L A Q_L)(\bar{Q}_R Q_R)$$

where $Q^T = (u, d, s)$, and determine what the matrix A is.

Solution:

Using

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we can see that

$$\bar{s}_L d_L = \bar{Q}_L A Q_L$$

- (b) We would like to promote A to a spurion field as we did in class. Using the fact that under the $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ chiral symmetry

$$Q_L \rightarrow L Q_L, \quad Q_R \rightarrow R Q_R, \quad \bar{Q}_L \rightarrow \bar{Q}_L L^\dagger, \quad \bar{Q}_R \rightarrow \bar{Q}_R R^\dagger,$$

what would A have to transform like for this term to be invariant under the chiral symmetry?

Solution:

Since

$$Q_L \rightarrow L Q_L, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Q}_L \rightarrow \bar{Q}_L L^\dagger,$$

Then

$$\bar{Q}_L A Q_L \rightarrow \bar{Q}_L L^\dagger A L Q_L$$

so letting

$$A \rightarrow L A L^\dagger$$

this is chirally invariant.

- (c) There are two independent terms [with different Low-Energy Constants (LEC's)] that arise at the chiral level for this Lagrangian at leading order [that are $O(p^2)$]. Using Σ, Σ^\dagger , the mass matrix M, M^\dagger (which is also a spurion field with the transformation we discussed in class), A , and derivatives what would the chiral level terms be? Remember that the terms must also not be invariant under the parity transformation $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^\dagger$ in the same way as the quark-level operator is.

Solution:

Note that if we add a new spurion B to our operator that transforms as

$$B \rightarrow RBR^\dagger$$

under the chiral symmetry and

$$B \leftrightarrow A$$

under a parity transformation, the quark level operator given by

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{(8,1)} = (\bar{Q}_L A Q_L)(\bar{Q}_R B Q_R)$$

is invariant under parity and the chiral symmetry. So our chiral operators will have one A , one B , and either two derivatives or one factor of the quark mass matrix. In the end we will set $B = I$. Terms that are $O(p^2)$ must have either one factor of M or two derivatives. We can see that the term

$$\partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger B \partial_\mu \Sigma \rightarrow L \partial^\mu \Sigma B \partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger L^\dagger$$

under a chiral symmetry. Multiplying this on either side by A and then tracing gives us an invariant.

As for terms with the mass matrix, we have

$$M \rightarrow LMR^\dagger$$

so

$$MB\Sigma^\dagger \rightarrow LMB\Sigma^\dagger L^\dagger$$

and

$$\Sigma BM^\dagger \rightarrow L\Sigma BM^\dagger L^\dagger$$

So multiplying these terms with A and tracing gives us two more chiral invariants. These would be

$$\text{Tr}[A\Sigma BM^\dagger], \text{ and } \text{Tr}[AMB\Sigma^\dagger]$$

But with the parity transformation, not only do we interchange A and B and Σ and Σ^\dagger , but also M, M^\dagger , so these two terms must come in with the same overall coefficient.

Combining this with the derivative terms, we find

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{(8,1)}^\chi = \alpha_1 \text{Tr}[A\partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma] + \alpha_2 \mu \text{Tr}[A(M\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma M^\dagger)]$$

where $\alpha_{1,2}$ are two new unknown *low energy constants*, just as f, μ are in the original chiral Lagrangian. The μ is just there for convenience.

- (d) This Lagrangian is one of the pieces that contributes to the nonleptonic decay of the kaon $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, for example. Expand the Σ fields to cubic order to determine the Lagrangian in terms of the pions and kaons, and pick out the relevant terms for the $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ transition, calculate the amplitude and decay rate in terms of the LEC's.

Solution:

We only need to expand Σ and keep cubic terms. First:

$$\Sigma = 1 + \frac{i}{f} \Phi - \frac{1}{2f^2} \Phi^2 - \frac{i}{6f^3} \Phi^3$$

and

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma = \frac{i}{f} \partial_\mu \Phi - \frac{1}{2f^2} \partial_\mu (\Phi^2) - \frac{i}{6f^3} \partial_\mu (\Phi^3)$$

So for the derivative term we can drop the cubic terms, since that would give higher order in Φ terms, and the quadratic terms won't contribute to what we care about, so

$$\alpha_1 \text{Tr}[A \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma] = \frac{-i\alpha_1}{2f^3} [\text{Tr}[A \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\mu (\Phi^2)] + \text{Tr}[A \partial_\mu (\Phi^2) \partial_\mu \Phi] + \dots]$$

where the dots refer to any non-cubic in Φ terms. Expanding the derivative terms:

$$\alpha_1 \text{Tr}[A \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma] = \frac{-i\alpha_1}{2f^3} [\text{Tr}[A \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi \Phi] + 2 \text{Tr}[A \partial_\mu \Phi \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi] + \text{Tr}[A \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi]]$$

We can use the following form for Φ , since we only care about the $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ terms:

$$\Phi = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^+ & 0 \\ \pi^- & 0 & K^0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Any terms that would contribute to $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ would be identical to those which contribute to $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ due to the symmetry of the Lagrangian.)

The trace give us

$$\alpha_1 \text{Tr}[A \partial^\mu \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma] = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}\alpha_1}{f^3} [\pi^- \partial_\mu \pi^+ \partial^\mu K^0 + 2 \partial_\mu \pi^- \pi^+ \partial^\mu K^0 + \partial_\mu \pi^- \partial^\mu \pi^+ K^0]$$

The mass term is easier, since we just have to keep the cubic terms:

$$\alpha_2 \mu \text{Tr}[A(M \Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma M^\dagger)] = \frac{i\alpha_2 \mu}{6f^3} \text{Tr}[A(M \Phi^3 - \Phi^3 M)]$$

where

$$\Phi^3 = 2\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ & 0 \\ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- & 0 & \pi^- \pi^+ K^0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi^- \pi^+ K^0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the second equality is because we can ultimately ignore any non- $\pi^2 K$ terms.

Using the mass matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_s \end{pmatrix}$$

we have (dropping the terms that are cubic in pion fields)

$$M \Phi^3 - \Phi^3 M = 2\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (m_d - m_s) \pi^- \pi^+ K^0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\text{Tr}[A(M \Phi^3 - \Phi^3 M)] = 2\sqrt{2}(m_d - m_s) \pi^- \pi^+ K^0$$

Combining this with the derivative term we have finally:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{(8,1)}^\chi = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}\alpha_1}{f^3} [\pi^- \partial_\mu \pi^+ \partial^\mu K^0 + 2 \partial_\mu \pi^- \pi^+ \partial^\mu K^0 + \partial_\mu \pi^- \partial^\mu \pi^+ K^0] + \frac{i\sqrt{2}\alpha_2}{4f^3} \mu (m_d - m_s) \pi^- \pi^+ K^0$$

For our amplitude, we need to multiply this by i and convert the derivatives into momenta. We'll define the kaon momentum as going inward, so we'll have derivatives acting on K to become $-ip_K$

and outgoing momenta, the pions, will have $+ip_{\pm}$ where the sign corresponds to the pion. Thus we get for the amplitude

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}\alpha_1}{f^3} [p_+ \cdot p_K + 2p_- \cdot p_K - p_- \cdot p_+] + \frac{i\sqrt{2}\alpha_2}{4f^3} \mu(m_d - m_s)$$

With momentum conservation:

$$p_K = p_+ + p_-$$

and $p_K^2 = m_K^2$. Plus,

$$m_K^2 = p_K^2 = (p_+ + p_-)^2 = 2m_\pi^2 + 2p_+ \cdot p_-$$

Also, we can use the tree-level mass relations:

$$\mu(m_d - m_s) = \mu(m_d + m_u - m_s - m_u) = m_\pi^2 - m_K^2$$

so we get

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}\alpha_1}{f^3} [m_\pi^2 + (m_K^2/2 - m_\pi^2) + m_K^2 - 2m_\pi^2 + 2m_\pi^2 - (m_K^2/2 - m_\pi^2)] + \frac{i\sqrt{2}\alpha_2}{4f^3} (m_\pi^2 - m_K^2)$$

or

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}}{4f^3} [4\alpha_1(m_K^2 + m_\pi^2) - \alpha_2(m_\pi^2 - m_K^2)]$$

Also, the magnitude of the momentum of either of the pions is given by

$$|\mathbf{p}|^2 = E_\pi^2 - m_\pi^2$$

but $E_\pi = m_K/2$, so

$$|\mathbf{p}| = \sqrt{\frac{m_K^2}{4} - m_\pi^2}$$

And we have

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{8\pi m_K^2} \sqrt{\frac{m_K^2}{4} - m_\pi^2} \frac{2}{16f^6} [4\alpha_1(m_K^2 + m_\pi^2) - \alpha_2(m_\pi^2 - m_K^2)]^2$$

or

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{128\pi m_K f^6} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{m_K^2}} [4\alpha_1(m_K^2 + m_\pi^2) + \alpha_2(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)]^2$$